

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE J		PAGE OF PAGES 1 20	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 12-Nov-2015		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. GRP82		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC SOUTHWEST CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS CONTRACTS CORE CODE RAQ20 1220 PACIFIC HWY SAN DIEGO CA 92132-5190		CODE N62473		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N62473-15-R-2440			
				X 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 15-Oct-2015			
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.			
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)			
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) INDEFINITE DELIVERY INDEFINITE QUANTITY (IDIQ) MULTIPLE AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT (MACC) SMALL BUSINESS SET-ASIDE FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, AND REPAIR OF GENERAL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS AT VARIOUS GOVERNMENT INSTALLATIONS LOCATED IN CALIFORNIA, ARIZONA, NEVADA, UTAH, COLORADO, AND NEW MEXICO. See page two for revisions to Section 00210, Basis of Award and Evaluation Factors. A revised SF 1442 is attached.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 12-Nov-2015	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0001

Section 00210, Basis of Award and Evaluation Factor, Factor 2: Experience, (1) Construction Experience has been revised

FROM:

FACTOR 2: EXPERIENCE (See definition)

a. Proposal Submission Requirements:

Submit Exhibit "B" (Construction/Design Experience Project Data Sheet) under Tab "2."

(1) Construction Experience:

Submit a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to projects that may be ordered under this contract. For purposes of this evaluation, relevant projects are further defined as new construction, renovation, and/or repair of commercial and institutional buildings, by design-build or by design-bid-build, with a construction cost of \$10,000,000 or greater. Types of projects may include, but are not limited to: administration buildings, armory, auditoriums, Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQs), child care centers, fire stations, gymnasiums, hangars, hospitals, maintenance/repair facilities, warehouse, and other similar facilities.

In addition, the Offeror shall meet the following minimum requirements:

- At least one (1) relevant construction project shall demonstrate the Offeror's experience in performing primarily new construction work.
- At least one (1) relevant construction project shall demonstrate the Offeror's experience in performing primarily renovation/repair work.
- At least two (2) relevant construction projects shall demonstrate the Offeror's experience in design-build construction.
- At least one (1) relevant construction project shall have a construction cost of \$15,000,000 or more. This refers to the total contract cost if the work was performed by the Offeror as prime contractor, and the subcontract cost if the work was performed by the Offeror as a subcontractor. If Exhibit "B" does not include the appropriate cost, the project will not be considered to have met this requirement.
- All construction projects shall have been performed by the Offeror as a prime contractor.

Exhibit "B" must clearly address how the project meets the above requirements.

Projects submitted for the Offeror shall be 100% complete (see definition) within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Exhibit "B") is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects with sustainable building validation and/or certification through any Third Party Certification entity.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects shall be submitted for the Joint Venture members. Offerors who fail to submit experience for all Joint Venture members may be rated lower. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name and address is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of this contract. Regarding any such submitted project, if the offeror fails to demonstrate the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD firm's meaningful involvement in the performance of this contract, the project will not be considered relevant and will not be evaluated.

The Offeror shall not utilize experience of a subcontractor to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor.

TO:

(1) Construction Experience:

Submit a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to projects that may be ordered under this contract. For purposes of this evaluation, relevant projects are further defined as new construction, renovation, and/or repair of commercial and institutional buildings, by design-build or by design-bid-build, with a construction cost of \$10,000,000 or greater. Types of projects may include, but are not limited to: administration buildings, armory, auditoriums, Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQs),

child care centers, fire stations, gymnasiums, hangars, hospitals, maintenance/repair facilities, warehouse, and other similar facilities.

In addition, the Offeror shall meet the following minimum requirements:

- At least one (1) relevant construction project shall demonstrate the Offeror's experience in performing primarily new construction work.
- At least one (1) relevant construction project shall demonstrate the Offeror's experience in performing primarily renovation/repair work.
- At least two (2) relevant construction projects shall demonstrate the Offeror's experience in design-build construction.
- All construction projects shall have been performed by the Offeror as a prime contractor.

Exhibit "B" must clearly address how the project meets the above requirements.

Projects submitted for the Offeror shall be 100% complete (see definition) within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Exhibit "B") is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects with sustainable building validation and/or certification through any Third Party Certification entity.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects shall be submitted for the Joint Venture members. Offerors who fail to submit experience for all Joint Venture members may be rated lower. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name and address is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of this contract. Regarding any such submitted project, if the offeror fails to demonstrate the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD firm's meaningful involvement in the performance of this contract, the project will not be considered relevant and will not be evaluated.

The Offeror shall not utilize experience of a subcontractor to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor.

Section 00210, Basis of Award and Evaluation Factor, Factor 2: Experience, (2) Design Experience has been revised

FROM:

(2) Design Experience:

Submit a maximum of five (5) relevant design projects for the design team that best demonstrates design experience on projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to projects that may be ordered under this contract. For purposes of this evaluation, relevant projects are further defined as new construction, repair, and/or renovation of commercial and institutional buildings, by design-build or by design-bid-build, with a project construction cost of \$10,000,000 or greater. Types of projects may include, but are not limited to: administration buildings, armory, auditoriums, Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQs), child care centers, fire stations, gymnasiums, hangars, hospitals, maintenance/repair facilities, warehouse, and other similar facilities.

In addition, the design team shall meet the following minimum requirements:

- At least one (1) relevant design project shall demonstrate the design team's experience performing design of primarily new construction of buildings.
- At least one (1) relevant design project shall demonstrate the Offeror's design team's experience in performing design of primarily renovation/repair of existing buildings.
- At least two (2) relevant design project shall demonstrate the design team's experience in design-build construction contract.
- At least one (1) relevant design project shall have a construction cost of \$15,000,000 or more.
- All design projects shall have been performed by the design firm(s) as the Architectural Designer of Record.

Exhibit "B" must clearly address how the project meets the above requirements.

Projects submitted for the design team shall be 100% complete (see definition) within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP. For design-build projects, the design portion of the contract shall have been completed within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a complete design effort performed under a single task order or contract/subcontract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Exhibit "B") is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). Provide a brief description of how any project design used Building Information Modeling (BIM) (i.e. developing a design model using a 3D Parametric Modeling Application supplemented with CAD content (e.g., sections, details)) to produce a complete set of construction documents. In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects with sustainable building validation and/or certification through any Third Party Certification entity.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name and address is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract. Regarding any such submitted project, if the Offeror fails to demonstrate the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD firm's meaningful involvement in the performance of this contract, the project will not be considered relevant and will not be evaluated.

The Offeror may utilize experience of a design subcontractor(s) to demonstrate design experience under this evaluation factor. The Offeror must provide a supporting joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, or letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement for the design subcontractor.

TO:

(2) Design Experience:

Submit a maximum of five (5) relevant design projects for the design team that best demonstrates design experience on projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to projects that may be ordered under this contract. For purposes of this evaluation, relevant projects are further defined as new construction, repair, and/or renovation of commercial and institutional buildings, by design-build or by design-bid-build, with a project construction cost of \$10,000,000 or greater. Types of projects may include, but are not limited to: administration buildings, armory, auditoriums, Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQs), child care centers, fire stations, gymnasiums, hangars, hospitals, maintenance/repair facilities, warehouse, and other similar facilities.

In addition, the design team shall meet the following minimum requirements:

- At least one (1) relevant design project shall demonstrate the design team's experience performing design of primarily new construction of buildings.
- At least one (1) relevant design project shall demonstrate the Offeror's design team's experience in performing design of primarily renovation/repair of existing buildings.
- At least two (2) relevant design project shall demonstrate the design team's experience in design-build construction contract.
- All design projects shall have been performed by the design firm(s) as the Architectural Designer of Record.

Exhibit "B" must clearly address how the project meets the above requirements.

Projects submitted for the design team shall be 100% complete (see definition) within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP. For design-build projects, the design portion of the contract shall have been completed within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a complete design effort performed under a single task order or contract/subcontract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Exhibit "B") is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). Provide a brief description of how any project design used Building Information Modeling (BIM) (i.e. developing a design model using a 3D Parametric Modeling Application supplemented with CAD content (e.g., sections, details)) to produce a complete set of construction documents. In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects with sustainable building validation and/or certification through any Third Party Certification entity.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name and address is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract. Regarding any such submitted project, if the Offeror fails to demonstrate the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD firm's meaningful involvement in the performance of this contract, the project will not be considered relevant and will not be evaluated.

The Offeror may utilize experience of a design subcontractor(s) to demonstrate design experience under this evaluation factor. The Offeror must provide a supporting joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, or letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement for the design subcontractor.

Section 00210, Basis of Award and Evaluation Factor, Factor 2: Experience, b. Basis of Evaluation, has been revised

FROM:

b. Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's Team's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction and design projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of

evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five (5) projects for construction and five (5) projects for design. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience and five (5) for Design Experience will not be considered.

Relevant projects where the Offeror and the proposed design firm(s) have previously worked together may be considered more favorably than those that have not worked together.

Relevant projects that demonstrate design-build experience may be considered more favorably than those that do not have design-build experience.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with applicable sustainable design may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with applicable sustainable features.

Offerors who submit relevant projects that demonstrate experience on self-performing major construction trade work on two (2) or more relevant construction projects may receive a higher rating than those who do not demonstrate self-performance (see definition).

Relevant projects where the proposed design firm(s) demonstrate experience with the use of Building Information Modeling (BIM) on design projects may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with the use of BIM.

TO:

b. Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's Team's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction and design projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five (5) projects for construction and five (5) projects for design. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience and five (5) for Design Experience will not be considered.

Relevant projects where the Offeror and the proposed design firm(s) have previously worked together may be considered more favorably than those that have not worked together.

Relevant projects that demonstrate design-build experience may be considered more favorably than those that do not have design-build experience.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with applicable sustainable design may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with applicable sustainable features.

Offerors who submit relevant projects that demonstrate experience on self-performing major construction trade work on two (2) or more relevant construction projects may receive a higher rating than those who do not demonstrate self-performance (see definition).

Offerors who submit relevant construction project(s) with a construction cost of \$15,000,000 or more may be considered more favorably than those who do not demonstrate experience with construction project(s) with a construction cost of \$15,000,000 or more.

Offerors who submit relevant design project(s) with a construction cost of \$15,000,000 or more may be considered more favorably than those who do not demonstrate experience with design project(s) with a construction cost of \$15,000,000 or more.

Relevant projects where the proposed design firm(s) demonstrate experience with the use of Building Information Modeling (BIM) on design projects may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with the use of BIM.

SECTION 00010 - SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

The required response date/time has changed from 19-Nov-2015 02:00 PM to 01-Dec-2015 02:00 PM.

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

SECTION 00210

BASIS OF AWARD AND EVALUATION FACTORS

1. BASIS OF AWARD

Selection of contractor(s) will be accomplished using Source Selection Procedures on the basis of Best Value to the Government, considering price and other factors combined. Interested firms are expected to review the RFP and participate in a pre-proposal conference and site visit, provide proposed prices for the initial project, and provide proposal information requested to evaluate their technical and past performance factors.

The Two Phase Design-Build procedures of FAR 36.3 will be used for this procurement. Phase One of the solicitation is in accordance with FAR Part 36.3. Phase One evaluation will result in a determination of the most highly qualified Offerors. These Offerors will be requested to submit a Phase Two proposal. The maximum number of Offerors that will be selected to submit a Phase Two proposal is five (5), unless the contracting officer determines that a number greater than five (5) is in the Government's interest and is consistent with the purposes and objectives of two-phase design-build contracting. Phase Two of the solicitation shall require submission of technical and price proposals by the Offerors selected in Phase One, which will be evaluated separately in accordance with Part 15. The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award contract(s) without discussions.

The Government anticipates three or more multiple award construction contracts. Offerors are advised that those Offerors who are selected to proceed to Phase Two are not guaranteed receipt of a contract award. Award of the basic multiple award construction contracts will be made to those responsible Offerors whose proposals conforming to the solicitation are determined to be the most advantageous to the Government considering past performance, technical, and price factors. The Government reserves the right to award three or more contracts under this solicitation or to award only the initial project (Proposed Task Order 0001) identified in the RFP as a single-action contract. The Government reserves the right not to award the Proposed Task Order 0001. The Government reserves the right to substitute or add other design-build projects that meet the scope of the MACC.

The Government reserves the right to reject any or all basic contract offers at any time prior to award; to negotiate with any one or all Offerors; to award the contract to other than the Offeror submitting the lowest total price; and to award contracts to three or more Offerors submitting proposals determined by the Source Selection Authority to be the most advantageous to the Government.

OFFERORS ARE ADVISED THAT IT IS DEFINITELY POSSIBLE THAT AN AWARD MAY BE MADE WITHOUT DISCUSSIONS OR ANY CONTACT CONCERNING THE PROPOSALS RECEIVED.

Therefore, proposals should be submitted initially on the most favorable price and technical terms. Offerors should not assume that they would be contacted or afforded the opportunity to qualify, discuss, or revise their proposal. Firms participating in the pre-proposal conference and site visit will not be compensated for their participation.

Successful Offerors will receive the following contract awards:

Best Value receives award with a minimum guarantee and the initial project, if the Government chooses to award it. Second, third, and any additional selected contractor will each receive award with a minimum guarantee, and selection will be based on the same criteria as the first selection.

2. DEFINITIONS

DEFICIENCY: A material failure of a proposal to meet a solicitation requirement or a combination of significant weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level.

WEAKNESS: A flaw in the proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.

SIGNIFICANT WEAKNESS: A flaw in the proposal that appreciably increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.

STRENGTH: An aspect of a proposal that has merit or exceeds specified performance or capability requirements in a way that will be advantageous to the Government during contract performance.

ACCEPTABLE FEATURES: A proposed method or technique in the proposal that addresses solicitation requirements such that no further explanation or documentation is necessary.

PAST PERFORMANCE: Relates to how well an Offeror and Offeror's Team has performed; e.g., the quality of work accomplished, schedule compliance, customer satisfaction.

EXPERIENCE: Pertains to work performed by an Offeror and Offeror's Team, within the prescribed timeframe, which is the same or similar to the work that may be ordered under this contract in terms of size, scope, and complexity. Experience is distinct from past performance (how well an Offeror has performed).

SUSTAINABLE FEATURES: Features in the design, construction, and operation of facilities with the goal of conserving resources and minimizing adverse effects on the environment while enhancing occupant productivity, minimizing energy use, improving quality and durability of materials, increasing recycling and use of recycled products, waste reduction, etc. Relevant sustainable features are those that are the same or similar rating system recognized by Third Party Certification entities.

PROJECT: A design-build or design-bid-build construction activity or task, with a single starting point and a single ending point.

COMBINED PROJECT(S): Projects with multiple starting and ending points, performed under separate task orders, delivery orders, or multiple contracts combined in a single description. No credit will be given for work performed under MACC/IDIQ contracts in the aggregate, for multiple projects completed under more than one task/delivery order.

RENOVATION, REPAIR, ALTERATION PROJECT TYPES: Refers to projects where the primary work modifies existing facilities.

NEW CONSTRUCTION: Refers to creating entirely new facilities (or new expansions/additions to existing facilities where a new structure and foundation is provided as part of the expansion/addition).

100% COMPLETE:

For construction projects: The facility is completely constructed and ready for the intended use.

For design projects: 100% plans and specifications signed off by an Architect-Engineer and ready for construction with final cost estimate. Does not include any Post Construction Award Support (PCAS).

OFFEROR: Refers to the Contractor submitting the proposal as Offeror, including Joint Ventures, whose name and address appears in Block 14 of Standard Form (SF) 1442 (Solicitation, Offer and Award). In the event of a conflict or inconsistency between the Offeror's name and address cited on the SF 1442 and any other part of the proposal, the SF 1442 is the conclusive document in determining the Offeror who is submitting the proposal.

OFFEROR'S TEAM: Refers to the Offeror (see definition), the Offeror's outside Lead Design Firm and/or in-house Lead Designer(s) only; does not include subcontractors or consultants.

LEAD DESIGN FIRM/DESIGN TEAM: Applies to the Offeror's primary design firm(s) and any in-house lead designer(s).

JOINT VENTURE (JV): A legal business entity formed between two or more companies (parties) to undertake the performance activities of a contract together. This does not include other arrangements such as "teaming agreement" or "strategic alliance," which are not recognized as bona fide joint ventures for the purposes of this solicitation.

MENTOR PROTÉGÉ: A Joint Venture Agreement that is formally approved by the SBA. Mentor Protégé Agreements approved by other agencies will not be considered. The proposal shall contain the SBA letter of approval for the Mentor Protégé Agreement, showing the approval is valid as of the date of the proposal submission, and the SBA approved Joint Venture Agreement.

FIVE-YEAR PERIOD: The prescribed timeframe for this solicitation that refers to the period of time for which projects may be submitted for experience or past performance. The five-year period is calculated by counting back five years from the initial RFP issuance date.

DESIGN-BUILD: Refers to combining design and construction in a single contract with one contractor. Design-build projects require design of the project by a licensed professional (i.e. engineer, architect). Projects that only require shop drawing level effort are not design-build projects.

DESIGN-BID-BUILD: Refers to the traditional delivery method where design and construction are sequential and contracted separately, with two separate contracts and contractors; one for an A-E contract and one for a construction contract.

SELF-PERFORM: Refers to performing relevant and major construction trade work in-house without subcontractors. This does not include project administration or management functions (such as quality control, safety program) and miscellaneous work (such as housekeeping, cleanup). Relevant and major construction trade work is that which is the same or similar to the work that may be ordered under this contract.

PAGE: Refers to one printed side of a piece of paper. (For example, 5 pieces of paper printed on both sides would result in 10 pages of narrative.)

3. RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF THE EVALUATION FACTORS

The relative importance of the evaluation factors is as follows:

- a. Phase One: Phase One shall consist of Factors 1, 2, 3. Factor 1 (Technical Approach) will be rated as either Acceptable or Unacceptable. If an Offeror is rated Unacceptable for Factor 1, they will not be invited to participate in Phase Two. Factors 2 and 3 are of equal importance to each other.
- b. Phase Two: In making the best value award decision in Phase Two, Factors 2-6 and price will be considered. The technical factors (2, 4, 5 and 6) are of equal importance to each other and when combined are of equal importance to the performance confidence assessment (Factor 3, Past Performance). The combined non-price factors are significantly more important than price. The importance of price will increase if the Offerors' non-price proposals are considered essentially equal in terms of overall quality, or if price is so high, or low, as to significantly diminish the value of a non-price proposal's superiority to the Government.

According to FAR 15.304, the evaluation factors that apply to this acquisition are a part of this RFP and are evaluated individually. The applicable evaluation factors and submission requirements are identified below.

4. EVALUATION FACTORS

The evaluation factors for this procurement are:

FACTOR 1: TECHNICAL APPROACH

a. Proposal Submission Requirements:

The composition and management of the firms proposed as the design-build (DB) team for this contract will be evaluated in this factor.

The Offeror shall submit the following information under **Tab "1"**:

(1) Using **Exhibit "A"** (Offeror Team Composition and Management), provide a narrative describing the proposed primary construction firm(s) and primary design firm(s) for this contract and the rationale for proposing this arrangement. Provide the role, responsibilities, and contractual relationships between the various firms (see FAR Subpart 9.6). On a separate sheet, include a simple organizational chart that clearly identifies the lines of authority between the entities. If the experience of an entity is being claimed in Factor 2, that entity must be named in the above narrative and organizational chart.

The technical approach narrative (Exhibit A) shall be limited to one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages) excluding the organizational chart. The information requested in item #2 below is not included in this page limitation.

(2) In addition to the narrative, the Offeror shall submit a signed copy of a joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, approved mentor protégé agreement (MPA), or letter of commitment for each member of the Offeror's team identified above (e.g., joint venture member, partner, team member, subcontractor, parent company, subsidiary, or other affiliated company, etc.).

b. Basis of Evaluation:

The assessment of the Offeror's technical approach will be used as a means to evaluate the organizational structure and teaming relationships proposed by the Offeror. This factor will be rated on an Acceptable or Unacceptable basis.

FACTOR 2: EXPERIENCE (See definition)

a. Proposal Submission Requirements:

Submit **Exhibit “B”** (Construction/Design Experience Project Data Sheet) under **Tab “2.”**

(1) Construction Experience:

Submit a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to projects that may be ordered under this contract. For purposes of this evaluation, relevant projects are further defined as new construction, renovation, and/or repair of commercial and institutional buildings, by design-build or by design-bid-build, with a construction cost of \$10,000,000 or greater. Types of projects may include, but are not limited to: administration buildings, armory, auditoriums, Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQs), child care centers, fire stations, gymnasiums, hangars, hospitals, maintenance/repair facilities, warehouse, and other similar facilities.

In addition, the Offeror shall meet the following minimum requirements:

- At least one (1) relevant construction project shall demonstrate the Offeror’s experience in performing primarily new construction work.
- At least one (1) relevant construction project shall demonstrate the Offeror’s experience in performing primarily renovation/repair work.
- At least two (2) relevant construction projects shall demonstrate the Offeror’s experience in design-build construction.
- All construction projects shall have been performed by the Offeror as a prime contractor.

Exhibit “B” must clearly address how the project meets the above requirements.

Projects submitted for the Offeror shall be 100% complete (see definition) within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Exhibit “B”) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects with sustainable building validation and/or certification through any Third Party Certification entity.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects shall be submitted for the Joint Venture members. Offerors who fail to submit experience for all Joint Venture members may be rated lower. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name and address is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of this contract. Regarding any such submitted project, if the offeror fails to demonstrate the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD

firm's meaningful involvement in the performance of this contract, the project will not be considered relevant and will not be evaluated.

The Offeror shall not utilize experience of a subcontractor to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor.

(2) Design Experience:

Submit a maximum of five (5) relevant design projects for the design team that best demonstrates design experience on projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to projects that may be ordered under this contract. For purposes of this evaluation, relevant projects are further defined as new construction, repair, and/or renovation of commercial and institutional buildings, by design-build or by design-bid-build, with a project construction cost of \$10,000,000 or greater. Types of projects may include, but are not limited to: administration buildings, armory, auditoriums, Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQs), child care centers, fire stations, gymnasiums, hangars, hospitals, maintenance/repair facilities, warehouse, and other similar facilities.

In addition, the design team shall meet the following minimum requirements:

- At least one (1) relevant design project shall demonstrate the design team's experience performing design of primarily new construction of buildings.
- At least one (1) relevant design project shall demonstrate the Offeror's design team's experience in performing design of primarily renovation/repair of existing buildings.
- At least two (2) relevant design project shall demonstrate the design team's experience in design-build construction contract.
- All design projects shall have been performed by the design firm(s) as the Architectural Designer of Record.

Exhibit "B" must clearly address how the project meets the above requirements.

Projects submitted for the design team shall be 100% complete (see definition) within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP. For design-build projects, the design portion of the contract shall have been completed within the past five (5) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a complete design effort performed under a single task order or contract/subcontract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Exhibit "B") is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). Provide a brief description of how any project design used Building Information Modeling (BIM) (i.e. developing a design model using a 3D Parametric Modeling Application supplemented with CAD content (e.g., sections, details)) to produce a complete set of construction documents. In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects with sustainable building validation and/or certification through any Third Party Certification entity.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name and address is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract. Regarding any such submitted project, if the Offeror fails to demonstrate the affiliate/subsidiary/ parent/LLC/LTD

firm's meaningful involvement in the performance of this contract, the project will not be considered relevant and will not be evaluated.

The Offeror may utilize experience of a design subcontractor(s) to demonstrate design experience under this evaluation factor. The Offeror must provide a supporting joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, or letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement for the design subcontractor.

b. Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's Team's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction and design projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five (5) projects for construction and five (5) projects for design. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience and five (5) for Design Experience will not be considered.

Relevant projects where the Offeror and the proposed design firm(s) have previously worked together may be considered more favorably than those that have not worked together.

Relevant projects that demonstrate design-build experience may be considered more favorably than those that do not have design-build experience.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with applicable sustainable design may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with applicable sustainable features.

Offerors who submit relevant projects that demonstrate experience on self-performing major construction trade work on two (2) or more relevant construction projects may receive a higher rating than those who do not demonstrate self-performance (see definition).

Offerors who submit relevant construction project(s) with a construction cost of \$15,000,000 or more may be considered more favorably than those who do not demonstrate experience with construction project(s) with a construction cost of \$15,000,000 or more.

Offerors who submit relevant design project(s) with a construction cost of \$15,000,000 or more may be considered more favorably than those who do not demonstrate experience with design project(s) with a construction cost of \$15,000,000 or more.

Relevant projects where the proposed design firm(s) demonstrate experience with the use of Building Information Modeling (BIM) on design projects may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with the use of BIM.

FACTOR 3: PAST PERFORMANCE

a. Proposal Submission Requirements:

Submit Past Performance Questionnaires (**Exhibit "C"**) and CCASS/ACASS/CPARS evaluations under **Tab "2"** immediately following each of the project data sheets to which they pertain. Submit any other past performance information under **Tab "3."**

If a completed Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) or Contractor Performance Assessment Rating System (CPARS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 2 for construction experience. If a completed AE Contractor Appraisal Support System (ACASS) or CPARS evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 2 for design experience. If there is not a completed CCASS, ACASS, or CPARS evaluation, submit a Past Performance Questionnaire (**Exhibit "C"**) for each project included in Factor 2 for both Construction Experience and Design

Experience. The Offeror should provide completed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ) in the proposal. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The Government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact Tanya Foster at tanya.foster@navy.mil.

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues. Explanations shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the references unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor.

Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

b. Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror's Team performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a partnership or joint venture identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's and design firms' performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror's team's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror's team's probability to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

Offerors lacking relevant past performance history will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in past performance and will receive an Unknown Confidence rating.

PHASE TWO

Basis of Evaluation/Submission Requirements/Criteria Description for Each Factor:

FACTOR 4: SAFETY

Submit **Exhibit "D"** (Safety Data Sheet / Safety Narrative) under **Tab "4."** Individual blocks on this form may be expanded.

a. Proposal Submission Requirements:

For a partnership or joint venture, EMR and DART rates are required for each entity that is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors.

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

For the three (3) previous complete calendar years (2013, 2014, and 2015), submit your EMR (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a three (3) year period). If you have no EMR, affirmatively state so and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the EMR and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

For the three (3) previous complete calendar years (2013, 2014, and 2015), submit your OSHA DART rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety:

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to evaluate safety performance of potential subcontractors, as a part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. Also, describe any innovative methods that the Offeror will employ to ensure and monitor safe work practices at all subcontractor levels. The safety narrative shall be limited to two pages.

b. Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for its self-performed work and the work of its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record, the Offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors, and any innovative safety methods that the Offeror plans to implement for this procurement. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety will include, but are not limited to, OSHA, NAVFAC's Enterprise Safety Applications Management System (ESAMS) database, and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR)
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
- Other sources of information available to the Government

(1) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

The Government will evaluate the EMR to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(2) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(3) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on projects under this procurement. The Government will also evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which innovations are being proposed that may enhance safety on projects under this procurement. Those Offerors whose plan demonstrates a commitment to hire subcontractors with a culture of safety and who propose innovative methods to enhance a safe working environment may be given greater weight in the evaluation.

FACTOR 5: TECHNICAL SOLUTION – Based on Proposed Task Order 0001

a. Proposal Submission Requirements:

Submit the Technical Solution narrative and drawings under **Tab “5.”**

Provide a narrative, not to exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages), describing the technical solution to the project that meets the requirements of the RFP. Address the following items in the narrative:

- Site layout including a description of the building orientation, roadways, pedestrian & vehicle traffic flow, parking (include ingress and egress), emergency vehicle access, antiterrorism (including ATFP standoff distances), and accessibility features.
- Interior circulation and adjacencies

Provide one (1) 11”x17” concept drawing of the Site Plan and one (1) 11”x17” concept drawing of the Floor Plan for the proposed project to demonstrate an understanding of the project objectives, design criteria, and understanding of the RFP requirements.

- Include the following major site components in the Site Plan: building orientation, roadways, pedestrian & vehicle traffic flow, parking (include ingress and egress), emergency vehicle access, antiterrorism (including ATFP standoff distances), and accessibility features.
- Include the following major interior components in the Floor Plan: interior circulation and adjacencies.

b. Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the narrative and conceptual drawings considering the extent to which the Offeror demonstrates a clear understanding of the architectural and engineering requirements of the project. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's technical solution to determine adherence to the technical requirements of the RFP.

FACTOR 6: ENERGY AND SUSTAINABLE DESIGN – Based on Proposed Task Order 0001

a. Proposal Submission Requirements:

Submit Energy and Sustainable Design information under **Tab “6.”**

Provide the following information, which describes how the project will meet or exceed the following sustainable design contract requirements.

(1) EPAct 2005 Energy Efficiency Narrative:

Using the guidance outlined in Part 3 of the RFP, provide a detailed narrative to describe whether the proposed solution will meet or exceed the goal of a 30% energy reduction using the ASHRAE Std 90.1-2007, Appendix G, Building Performance Rating Method, excluding receptacle and process loads. Provide the proposed percent energy reduction. Provide the assumptions the Offeror will use to obtain a high-performance building, which will comply with these energy reduction goals. Describe the Offeror's proposed building with regards to building orientation, shape, fenestration, solar heat gain coefficients (SHGC), wall and roof insulation values (U-values), HVAC systems, water heating systems, lighting systems, and control systems. Organize/divide the assumptions into four areas: building orientation and configuration, building envelope, mechanical systems, and electrical systems. If the Offeror cannot achieve the 30% reduction within the budget identified, the Offeror shall state what percent energy reduction is proposed within their proposal. Do not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages). *Note: Building performance rating and percent energy reduction are calculated in terms of energy rather than energy cost.*

b. Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's response to the Energy and Sustainable Design Factor considering the proposed energy savings.

EPA 2005 Energy Efficiency Narrative: The Government will evaluate the Offeror's proposed energy budget reduction relative to EPA 2005 energy efficiency goals, including evaluation of assumptions.

FACTOR 7: PRICE – Based on Proposed Task Order 0001

a. Proposal Submission Requirements:

The Offeror (see definition) shall complete and submit (in a sealed envelope) the following in the order shown below under **Tab "7"** of the original proposal only.

- Standard Form (SF) 1442 (Solicitation, Offer and Award)
- Bid Bond

With regard to price as shown on the SF 1442, the only Contract Line Item that Offerors are to complete is Line Item 0002 of Section 00010 Solicitation for Proposed Task Order 0001.

Failure to submit a Bid Bond conforming to the requirements of the solicitation may result in an Offeror's proposal being rejected as unacceptable.

b. Basis of Evaluation:

The price proposal for Proposed Task Order 0001 will be evaluated to determine the reasonableness and completeness of the Offeror's proposal. The following techniques will be used to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the solicitation.
- Comparison of proposed prices with the independent Government estimate.

In addition, one or more of the following techniques may also be utilized:

- Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information.
- Comparison of proposed prices with resources proposed.
- Obtain information/reports from Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) or other outside agencies as required.

A price that is found to be either unreasonably high or unrealistically low in relation to the proposed work may be indicative of an inherent lack of understanding of the solicitation requirements and may result in the overall proposal not being considered for award.

Any inconsistency whether real or apparent, between proposed performance and price must be clearly explained in the price proposal. For example, if unique and innovative approaches are the basis for an apparently unbalanced/inconsistently priced proposal, the nature of these approaches and their impact on price must be completely documented. The burden of proof of price reasonableness rests solely with the Offeror.

EXHIBITS FOR SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS ARE PROVIDED AS SEPARATE ATTACHMENTS ON THE NAVY ELECTRONIC COMMERCE ONLINE WEBSITE AT <https://www.neco.navy.mil>

(End of Summary of Changes)